arXiv:hep-th/9310004v1 1 Oct 1993

Fusion algebra at a rational level and cohomology of nilpotent subalgebras of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$

Boris Feigin
Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics

Feodor Malikov
Department of Mathematics, Yale University

Received:

Abstract

We define and calculate the fusion algebra of WZW model at a rational level by cohomological methods. As a byproduct we obtain a cohomological characterization of admissible representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this note is to define and calculate the fusion algebra of WZW model at a rational level using cohomological methods. The link between cohomology theory of infinite dimensional Lie algebras and conformal field theory was observed quite some time ago. It is provided by the construction which attaches algebras in question (usually Virasoro or an affine Lie algebra) and modules over them to a point on a curve. In a sense, this makes a module depend on the additional parameter, that is a point on a curve. This construction gives satisfactory results in the Virasoro algebra case ([3]). It does also in the affine Lie algebra case ([13]) provided the theory is related to an integral highest weight. (An impressive application of this technique is to be found in [8] .) Several observations indicate, however, that difficulties arise in the rational highest weight case. Among these we mention that the calculation of the fusion algebra based on Verlinde formula gives negative structure constants ([12]), the result lacking natural interpretation.

A partial solution to the problem was given by Awata and Yamada [1] , where vertex operators (intertwiners between certain affine Lie algebra modules,

for the definition see the main body of paper) were classified. This allowed to envisage what the structure constants of the fusion algebra are. What was still lacking was the rich structure of conformal field theory, which apart from vertex operators possesses operators of a more complicated nature and, quite remarkably, assigns an element of a highest weight module to each operator so that, for example, a vertex operator is associated with a highest weight vector.

Here we propose an improvement of the construction attaching a module to a point. We suggest that one more parameter should be introduced and a module should be attached to a pair " point on a curve, Borel subalgebra of the underlying finite dimensional Lie algebra which the module is induced from". After that the usual definition of the conformal block in spirit of [3, 13] goes through nicely. In particular, in the case of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ on $\mathbf{CP^1}$ one reconstructs the above-mentioned structure of conformal field theory and calculates the fusion algebra, recovering the result of Awata and Yamada.

Our calculation of the fusion algebra rests on the calculation of the cohomology of a certain nilpotent subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \subset \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. The latter might be of some interest in its own right. It is known that the infinite dimensional Lie algebra analogues of finite dimensional irreducible modules over a simple Lie algebra are infinite dimensional. Such are minimal representations of Virasoro algebra and integrable highest weight modules, i.e. irreducibles with dominant integral highest weight, over an affine Lie algebra. It is shown in [3] that, nevertheless, these modules are singled out by a certain finiteness condition: they are equivalently described as all modules V such that the 0th cohomology of any finite codimension nilpotent subalgebra with coefficients in V is finite dimensional. This completes the Virasoro algebra case. In the affine case, however, there is a broad class of modules containing integrable modules as a subclass. Modules of this class were called admissible by Kac and Wakimoto [7]). In many respects they are no worse than the integrable; in particular their characters are modular invariant. Their consideration is also necessitated by the quantum hamiltonian reduction ([6] and references therein), i.e. by the functor between the categories of Virasoro and $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ modules ([4]). This functor sends highest weight modules to highest weight modules and establishes 1-1 correspondence between minimal representations of Virasoro algebra and admissible modules over $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$.

Our calculation shows that admissible modules are singled out in the class of modules at the level $k > -2$ by a similar condition: they are exactly such modules V that $dim\, H^0(\mathfrak{a}, V) < \infty$.

Part of the above is easy to extend to the higher rank case, part is not quite so. We intend to address this issue in the forthcoming paper.

Acknowledgments Part of the work was done when F.M. was visiting Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics of University of Cambridge supported by SERC Fellowship. F.M. wishes to thank his colleagues from DAMTP for hospitality and many interesting discussions.

2 Notations and known results

1.Set $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2 = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathbf{C}[z, z^{-1}] \oplus \mathbf{C}c$. Choose a basis e, h, f of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $[h, e] = 2e$, $[h, f] = -2f$, $[e, f] = h$. $\mathfrak{b} = \mathbf{C}e \oplus \mathbf{C}h$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes z\mathbf{C}[z] \oplus \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathbf{C}c$ are standard Borel subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ resp.

The Verma module $M_{\lambda,k}$ is a module induced from the character of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes z\mathbf{C}[z] \oplus \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathbf{C}c$ annihilating $\mathfrak{g} \otimes z\mathbf{C}[z] \oplus \mathbf{C}e$ and sending h and c to λ and k resp. k is often referred to as a level. Generator of $M_{\lambda,k}$ is usually denoted by $v_{\lambda,k}$.

The algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is $\mathbf{Z}_+^2-$graded by assigning $f \otimes z^n \mapsto (1, -n)$, $e \otimes z^n \mapsto (-1, -n)$ and so is $M_{\lambda,k} = \oplus_{i,j} M_{\lambda,k}^{i,j}$.

A morphism of Verma modules $M_{\lambda,k} \to M_{\mu,k}$ is determined by the image of $v_{\lambda,k}$. It can be written as $Sv_{\mu,k}$ for a uniquely determined element S of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes z^{-1}\mathbf{C}[z^{-1}] \oplus \mathbf{C}f$. Vector $Sv_{\mu,k}$ or even S for this matter is called singular.

The structure of Verma modules over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is known in full detail ([11]). Outside the critical level ($k = -2$) and apart from more trivial possibilities, $M_{\lambda,k}$ contains 2 independent singular vectors and these generate the maximal proper submodule. In the region $k > -2$ a Verma module contains infinitely many singular vectors and is embedded in finitely many other Verma modules (the situation in the region $k < -2$ is dual to this). Although formally all such Verma modules look alike a special role is played by those which can only embed (non-trivially) in themselves. Highest weights of such modules are called admissible ([7]) and are described as follows:

Let $k + 2 = p/q$, where p, q are relatively prime positive integers. The set of admissible highest weights at the level $k = p/q - 2$ is given by

$$\Lambda_k = \{\lambda(m, n) = m\frac{p}{q} - n - 1 \,:\, 0 < m \leq q,\, 0 \leq n \leq p\}.$$

What is said above about the structure of Verma modules implies that any Verma module appears in the exact sequence of the form

$$0 \leftarrow L_{\lambda_0,k} \leftarrow M_{\lambda_0,k} \stackrel{d_0}{\leftarrow} M_{\lambda_1,k} \oplus M_{\mu_1,k} \stackrel{d_1}{\leftarrow} M_{\lambda_2,k} \oplus M_{\mu_2,k} \stackrel{d_2}{\leftarrow} \cdots, \qquad (1)$$

where λ_0 is an admissible weight at the level k and $L_{\lambda_0,k}$ is the corresponding irreducible module. $L_{\lambda_0,k}$ is also called admissible. The exact sequence (1) is called Bernstein - Gel'fand - Gel'fand (BGG) resolution.

2. *Sigular vector formula.* It follows from Kac-Kazhdan determinant formula that a singular vector generically appears in the homogeneous components of degree either $N(-1, l)$, $l > 0$, $N > 0$ or $N(1, l)$, $l \geq 0, l \geq 0$. Denote the corresponding singular vectors by $S_{N,l}^1$ and $S_{N,l}^2$ resp.

Singular vectors S_{Nl}^i were found in [9] in an unconventional form containing non-integral powers of elements of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (see also [2] for another approach):

$$S_{Nl}^1 = (e \otimes z^{-1})^{N+lt} f^{N+(l-1)t} (e \otimes z^{-1})^{N+(l-2)t} \cdots (e \otimes z^{-1})^{N-lt} \qquad (2)$$

$$S_{Nl}^2 = f^{N+lt} (e \otimes z^{-1})^{N+(l-1)t} f^{N+(l-2)t} \cdots f^{N-lt}, \qquad (3)$$

where $t = k + 2$.

This form is not always convenient to calculate a singular vector. It is, however, a useful tool to derive properties of a singular vector. For example, denoting by $\pi : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g},\ g \otimes z^n \mapsto g$ the evaluation map, one uses (2, 3) to derive that (see [5], also [10] for the proof in a more general quantum case):

$$\pi S^1_{Nl} = (\prod_{i=1}^{l} \prod_{j=1}^{N} P(-it - j))e^N \tag{4}$$

$$\pi S^2_{Nl} = (\prod_{i=1}^{l} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} P(it + j))f^N, \tag{5}$$

where $P(t) = ef - (t + 1)h - t(t + 1)$.

3. We will also be using $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}-$modules different from Verma modules or corresponding irreducible ones:

Denote by $M^{opp}_{\lambda,k}$ a module induced from the Borel subalgebra opposite to $\hat{\mathfrak{b}}$; obviously $M^{opp}_{\lambda,k}$ is a lowest weight module. The canonical automorphism of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ determined by: $e \otimes z^n \mapsto f \otimes z^{-n},\ f \otimes z^n \mapsto e \otimes z^{-n}$ shows that $M_{\lambda,k}$ and $M^{opp}_{\lambda,k}$ have formally identical properties. For example, both are $\mathbf{Z}_+ \times \mathbf{Z}_+$-graded, singular vectors appear in the same homogeneous components and are given by

$$T^1_{Nl} = (f \otimes z)^{N+lt} e^{N+(l-1)t} (f \otimes z)^{N+(l-2)t} \cdots (f \otimes z)^{N-lt} \tag{6}$$

$$T^2_{Nl} = e^{N+lt} (f \otimes z)^{N+(l-1)t} e^{N+(l-2)t} \cdots e^{N-lt}. \tag{7}$$

Observe that

$$\pi T^1_{Nl} = \pi S^2_{Nl}; \pi T^2_{Nl} = \pi S^1_{Nl}. \tag{8}$$

Set $M^c_{\lambda,k} = (M^{opp}_{\lambda,k})^*$; $M^c_{\lambda,k}$ is called contragredient Verma module.

Denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\mu}$ a $\mathfrak{g}-$ module with the basis $F_i,\ i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and the action given by

$$eF_i = -(\mu + i)F_{i-1},\ hF_i = (-2\mu - 2i + \lambda)F_i,\ fF_i = (\mu + i - \lambda)F_{i+1}.$$

The space $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\mu}[z, z^{-1}] = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda\mu} \otimes \mathbf{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ is endowed with the natural $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}-$module structure. The elements $F_{ij} = F_i \otimes z^{-j},\ i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ serve as a natural basis in it.

4. Some notations from the commutative algebra are as follows:

$\mathbf{C}[t]$ is a polynomial ring, $\mathbf{C}[[t]]$ is its completion by positive powers of t; $\mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ is a ring of Laurent polynomials and $\mathbf{C}((t))$ is its completion by positive powers of t.

3 Fusion algebra of WZW model at a rational level

1. From now on $\mathfrak{g}$ stands for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. For any $w \in \mathbf{CP^1}$ define $\mathfrak{g}_w$ to be the algebra of functions defined over the "punctured formal neighborhood of w" with values in $\mathfrak{g}$. By this we mean that for any local coordinate z in a neighborhood of w one has $\mathfrak{g}_w \approx \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbf{C}((z-w))$. $\mathfrak{g}_w$ is equipped with a natural structure of a Lie algebra. It possesses the unique (up to proportionality) 1-dimensional central extension determined by the cocycle $< f(z), g(z) >_w = Res_{z=w} Tr\, f(z)' g(z)\, dz$. Denote this central extension by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_w$. The choice of a cocycle fixes a central element c so that $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_w = \mathfrak{g}_w \oplus \mathbf{C}c$. Obviously $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_0$.

Further, for a finite $E \subset \mathbf{CP^1}$ set $\mathfrak{g}_E = \oplus_{w \in E} \mathfrak{g}_w$ and define $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_E$ the 1-dimensional central extension of $\mathfrak{g}_E$ determined by he cocycle $< ., . >_E = \sum_{w \in E} < ., . >_w$.

For any finite $E \subset \mathbf{CP^1}$ denote by $\mathfrak{g}(E)$ the Lie algebra of meromorphic functions on $\mathbf{CP^1}$ and holomorphic outside E with values in $\mathfrak{g}$. The Taylor expansions provide a homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{g}(E) \to \mathfrak{g}_E. \tag{9}$$

It is less obvious but follows from the residue theorem that the above homomorphism lifts to the homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{g}(E) \to \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_E. \tag{10}$$

2. It is natural to refer to $\mathfrak{g}_w$ ($\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_w$ resp.) as a current algebra (an affine Lie algebra resp.) attached to the point $w \in \mathbf{CP^1}$. In a similar manner one attaches a highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}-$module to a point $w \in \mathbf{CP^1}$. Fix a pair of complex numbers λ, k. Observe that $\mathbf{CP^1}$ is a flag manifold for $\mathfrak{g}$. This means that there is a canonical Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_w \subset \mathfrak{g}$ associated to any $w \in \mathbf{CP^1}$. Fix Cartan generators of $h_w, e_w \in \mathfrak{b}_w$ satisfying $e_w \in [\mathfrak{b}_w, \mathfrak{b}_w]$, $[h_w, e_w] = 2e_w$. This determines a character $\phi_\lambda : \mathfrak{b}_w \to \mathbf{C}$ satisfying $\phi_\lambda(e_w) = 0$, $\phi_\lambda(h_w) = \lambda$. Although the choice of the generators h_w, e_w is not quite unique, the character ϕ_λ only depends on $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$.

Set $\hat{\mathfrak{b}}_w = \mathfrak{b}_w \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes (z-w)\mathbf{C}[[z-w]]) \oplus \mathbf{C}c$. Making use of the other complex number k one extends ϕ_λ to the character $\phi_{\lambda,k}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{b}}_w$ by setting

$$\phi_{\lambda,k}|_{\mathfrak{g} \otimes (z-w)\mathbf{C}[[z-w]]} = 0,\ \phi_{\lambda,k}(c) = k.$$

A Verma module $M_{\lambda,k}(w)$ attached to $w \in \mathbf{CP^1}$ is said to be a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_w$-module induced from the character $\phi_{\lambda,k}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{b}}_w$. Obviously $M_{\lambda,k}(0)$ is the usual Verma module $M_{\lambda,k}$, while $M_{\lambda,k}(\infty)$ is the opposite Verma module $M^{opp}_{\lambda,k}$, see sect. 2. Taking a quotient of $M_{\lambda,k}(w)$ one obtains the definition of an arbitrary highest weight module $V_{\lambda,k}(w)$ attached to w. Denote by $L_{\lambda,k}(w)$ the corresponding irreducible highest weight module.

3. By the definition, the tensor product $V_{\lambda_1,k}(w_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_m,k}(w_m)$ is a $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_E-$module, where $E = \{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$. The pull-back with respect to the homomorphism (10) makes it a $\mathfrak{g}(w_1, \ldots, w_m)-$module. We will be interested in the space of coinvariants

$$(V_{\lambda_1,k}(w_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_m,k})^{\mathfrak{g}(E)} = H^0(\mathfrak{g}(E),\ V_{\lambda_1,k}(w_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_m,k})$$

for appropriate $V_{\lambda_i,k},\ 1 \leq i \leq m$ and and m.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in \mathbf{CP^1}$ be 3 distinct points. Then*

$$(i)\ \ dim\, H^0(\mathfrak{g}(w_1, w_2),\ L_{\lambda_1,k}(w_1) \otimes L_{\lambda_2,k}(w_2)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(ii)\ \ dim\, H^0(\mathfrak{g}(w_1, w_2, w_3),\ M_{\lambda_1,k}(w_1) \otimes M_{\lambda_2,k}(w_2) \otimes M_{\lambda_3,k}(w_3)) = 1$$

for any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$.

4. The relation of the above to physics is as follows. A conformal field theory is (in particular) a collection of irreducibles $L_{\lambda,k}$ for a fixed k and λ running over an appropriate set Λ. The space $H^0(\mathfrak{g}(w_1, \ldots, w_m),\ L_{\lambda_1,k}(w_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\lambda_m,k}(w_m))$ is called conformal block. The fusion algebra is also defined in terms of coinvariants.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a vector space with the basis $\{l_\lambda,\ \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. This space carries a family of symmetric forms $\Phi_m,\ m \geq 2$ defined by

$$\Phi_m(l_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, l_{\lambda_m}) = dim\, H^0(\mathfrak{g}(w_1, \ldots, w_m),\ L_{\lambda_1,k}(w_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\lambda_m,k}(w_m)).$$

Item (i) of Lemma 3.1 implies that Φ_2 provides an isomorphism $\mathcal{A}^* \approx \mathcal{A}$ and the basis $\{l_\lambda,\ \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is self-dual. Therefore Φ_3 determines a commutative linear map $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$. $\mathcal{A}$ with thus defined commutative algebra structure is called fusion algebra. Here we calculate this algebra structure in the case when $\Lambda = \Lambda_k$ is the set of all admissible highest weights at a rational level k.

5. **Main result.** Let $k + 2 = p/q$, where p, q are relatively prime positive integers. The set of admissible highest weights at the level $k = p/q - 2$ is given by

$$\Lambda_k = \{\lambda(m, n) = m\frac{p}{q} - n - 1\ :\ 0 < m \leq q,\ 0 \leq n \leq p - 1\}.$$

We will call a triple of admissible weights $\lambda_i = \lambda(m_i, n_i),\ i = 1, 2, 3$ *proper* if it satisfies either

$$\begin{cases} max\{2 + m_3 - m_1, 2 + m_1 - m_3\} \leq & m_2 & \leq min\{2q - m_3 - m_1, m_3 + m_1 - 2\} \\ max\{n_3 - n_1 + 1, n_1 - n_3 + 1\} \leq & n_2 & \leq min\{n_1 + n_3 - 1, -n_1 - n_3 + 2p - 1\} \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} max\{m_3 + m_1 - q, -m_3 - m_1 + q + 2\} \leq & m_2 & \leq min\{m_1 - m_3 + q, m_3 - m_1 + q\} \\ max\{n_3 + n_1 - p + 1, -n_1 - n_3 + p + 1\} \leq & n_2 & \leq min\{n_1 - n_3 + p - 1, n_3 - n_1 + p - 1\} \end{cases}$$

where it is understood that in both cases m_2, n_2 run between the limits with the step equal to 2; note that in all the cases the limits are of the same parity.

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_k$ the set of proper weights at the level k.

Theorem 3.2 *The algebra structure of $\mathcal{A}$ at the level $k = p/q - 2$ is given by*

$$l_{\lambda_0} \cdot l_{\lambda_1} = \sum_{\lambda:(\lambda_0,\lambda_1,\lambda)\in\mathcal{P}_k} \lambda.$$

Proof of this theorem is based on calculation of cohomology of a certain subalgebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with coefficients in a highest weight module (see sect. 4).

6. We finish this section by describing how one obtains vertex operators, i.e. $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}-$ morphisms

$$\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\mu}[z, z^{-1}] \otimes M_{\lambda_1,k} \to M^c_{\lambda_2,k} \tag{11}$$

through coinvariants. It is best understood by generalizing the above construction of $M_{\lambda,k}(z)$ to obtain a module $M_{\lambda,k}(w_1, w_2)$ attached to the point $(w_1, w_2) \in \mathbf{CP^1} \times \mathbf{CP^1}$. The last module is defined to be induced from the character of the subalgebra

$$(\mathfrak{g} \otimes (z - w_1)\mathbf{C}[[z - w_1]]) \oplus \mathfrak{b}_{w_2} \oplus \mathbf{C}c$$

vanishing on $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes (z - w_1)\mathbf{C}[[z - w_1]]) \oplus \mathbf{C}e_{w_2}$ and sending $h_{w_2},\ c$ to $\lambda,\ k$ resp. It is clear that $M_{\lambda,k}(w, w) = M_{\lambda,k}(w)$.

The following analogue of Lemma 3.1 (ii) takes place:

$$(ii)\ \ dim\, H^0(\mathfrak{g}(0, w_1, \infty),\ M_{\lambda_1,k}(0) \otimes M_{\lambda_2,k}(w_1, w_2) \otimes M_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)) = 1$$

for any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ (we have fixed some of the parameters). Let $v_0, v(w_1, w_2), v_\infty$ be highest weight vectors of the modules $M_{\lambda_1,k}(0)$, $M_{\lambda_2,k}(w_1, w_2)$, $M_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)$ resp. Normalizing a cocycle generating

$$H^0(\mathfrak{g}(0, w_1, \infty),\ M_{\lambda_1,k}(0) \otimes M_{\lambda_2,k}(w_1, w_2) \otimes M_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty))$$

so that it is equal to 1 on $v_0 \otimes v(w_1, w_2) \otimes v_\infty$ one realizes $v(w_1, w_2)$ as an element of the dual space $(M_{\lambda_1,k}(0) \otimes M_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)^*$. Observe that the definitions imply the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}-$isomorphism: $M_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)^* \approx M^c_{\lambda_3,k}$, where $M^c_{\lambda_3,k}$ stands for the contragredient Verma module. This means that the Fourier components of the operator function $v(w_1, w_2) = \sum_{i,j} v^{-i,-j} w_1^i w_2^j$ are mappings

$$v^{ij} :\ M_{\lambda_1,k} \to M^c_{\lambda_3,k}$$

of degree $(-i, -j)$:

$$v^{ij}(M^{mn}_{\lambda_1,k}) \subset (M^c_{\lambda_3,k})^{m-i,m-j},$$

for all m, n. The algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ naturally acts on $v^{ij},\ i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ as elements of $Hom(M_{\lambda_1,k}, M^c_{\lambda_3,k})$. The following is proved by direct calculations using the definitions.

Proposition 3.1

$$\oplus_{i,j\in\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{C}v^{ij}\approx\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\mu}[z,z^{-1}],$$

where $\lambda=-\lambda_2-2,\ \mu=(\lambda_1-\lambda_2-\lambda_3-2)/2.$

Therefore the highest weight vector $v(w_1,w_2)$ gives rise to a vertex operator (see (11)). Further, other elements of $M_{\lambda_2,k}(w_1,w_2)$ ("descendants of the vacuum") give rise in a similar way to more complicated $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules realized in $Hom(M_{\lambda_1,k},M^c_{\lambda_3,k})$. This is one of the main structures of WZW model.

4 Cohomology of a nilpotent subalgebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

1. Set $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{g}\otimes z(z-1)\mathbf{C}[z]\oplus\mathbf{C}e\otimes(z-1)\oplus\mathbf{C}(e-h-f)\otimes z$, $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}=\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathbf{C}h\otimes(z-1)\oplus\mathbf{C}(h+2f)\otimes z$. Obviously, $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ are commutative subalgebras of $\hat{\mathfrak{b}}$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{a}\subset\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ is an ideal, $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}/\mathfrak{a}$ is a 2-dimensional commutative algebra generated by the classes of $h\otimes(z-1)$ and $(h+2f)\otimes z$. This means that for any $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$-module V the elements $h\otimes(z-1)$ and $(h+2f)\otimes z$ act on the cohomology groups $H^r(\mathfrak{a},V)$ commuting with each other.

Theorem 4.1 *(i) dim* $H^0(\mathfrak{a},L_{\lambda,k}(\infty))<\infty$ *if and only if* λ *is admissible.*

(ii) Set $\lambda=\lambda(m,n)$. *Operators* $h\otimes(z-1)$, $(h+2f)\otimes z$ *have simple spectra in* $H^0(\mathfrak{a},L_{\lambda,k}(\infty))$. *If* $H^0(\mathfrak{a},L_{\lambda,k}(\infty))^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ *is a simultaneous eigenspace, then*

$$dim\,H^0(\mathfrak{a},L_{\lambda,k}(\infty))^{(\alpha,\beta)}=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } (\lambda,-\alpha,\beta) \text{ is proper}\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Remark. As explained above, attaching an irreducible highest weight module to ∞ means changing it to the irreducible lowest weight module. We use such a module in the last theorem for purely technical reasons. The result is immediately interpreted in terms of highest weight modules.

2. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 4.1. A Verma module attached to ∞ is $\mathfrak{a}-$free. Therefore cohomology of $\mathfrak{a}$ can be calculated by means of BGG resolution (1) or, rather, its analogue for lowest weight modules. In particular, the 0th cohomology is equal to the kernel of d_0^* in $(M_{\lambda,k}(\infty)^*)^{\mathfrak{a}}$. $M_{\lambda,k}(\infty)$ is $\mathbf{Z}_+\times\mathbf{Z}_+$-graded, therefore, with an element $F\in M_{\lambda,k}(\infty)^*$ one can associate fuctionals F_{ij}, $i,j\geq 0$, where F_{ij} is a restriction of F to the homogeneous subspace of degree (i,j).

Lemma 4.2 *(c.f. Proposition 3.1) If* $h\otimes(z-1)F=\alpha F$, $(h+2f)\otimes zF=\beta F$ *then with respect to the natural action of* $\mathfrak{g}\otimes z\mathbf{C}[z]\oplus\mathbf{C}e$, *the space* $\oplus_{i,j\geq 0}\mathbf{C}F_{ij}$ *is isomorphic with* $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\mu}$ *for* $\lambda=-\beta-2$, $\mu=-1/2(\lambda+\alpha+\beta)-1$.

$M_{\lambda,k}(\infty)$ contains 2 singular vectors, say S_1 and S_2, of degrees (r,s) and (r_1,s_1) resp. Therefore, *ker* d_0^* is given by the following system

$$\begin{cases} S_1F_{rs}=0\\ S_2F_{r_1s_1}=0\end{cases}\tag{12}$$

Action of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ on elements of $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda\mu}$ is given through the evaluation map. Formula (8) shows that each of the above equations determines a collection of straight lines in $(\alpha, \beta)-$plane. Calculations show that if λ is not admissible then the 2 families have at least 1 common line, proving (i). If λ is admissible then the same calculations give (ii).

3. Here we will derive Theorem 3.2 from Theorem 4.1. Definitions imply that the claim of Theorem 3.2 is equivalently reformulated as follows

$$H^0(\mathfrak{g}(0,1,\infty),\ L_{\lambda_1,k}(0)\otimes L_{\lambda_2,k}(1)\otimes L_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C} & \text{if } (\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3) \text{ is proper} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

First, prove that the last formula holds for Verma modules, i.e.

$$H^0(\mathfrak{g}(0,1,\infty),\ M_{\lambda_1,k}(0)\otimes M_{\lambda_2,k}(1)\otimes L_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C} & \text{if } (\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3) \text{ is proper} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

To do so observe that the module $M_{\lambda_1,k}(0) \otimes M_{\lambda_2,k}(1)$ is induced from the "2-character" of $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ determined by the composition map

$$\bar{\mathfrak{a}} \to \bar{\mathfrak{a}}/\mathfrak{a} \to \mathbf{C}^2,$$

where $h \otimes (z-1) \mapsto -\lambda_1,\ (h+2f)\otimes z \mapsto \lambda_2$. Frobenius duality gives that

$$H^0(\mathfrak{g}(0,1,\infty),\ M_{\lambda_1,k}(0)\otimes M_{\lambda_2,k}(1)\otimes L_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)) = H^0(\mathfrak{a},\ L_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty))^{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}.$$

Equality (14) now follows from Theorem 4.1.

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.2 it remains to show that

$$H^0(\mathfrak{g}(0,1,\infty),\ L_{\lambda_1,k}(0)\otimes L_{\lambda_2,k}(1)\otimes L_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)) = H^0(\mathfrak{g}(0,1,\infty),\ M_{\lambda_1,k}(0)\otimes M_{\lambda_2,k}(1)\otimes L_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)).$$

The following part of the BGG resolution

$$M_1 \oplus M_2 \to M_{\lambda_1,k} \to L_{\lambda_1,k} \to 0$$

gives rise to the following exact sequence of the cohomology groups

$$H^0(\mathfrak{g}(0,1,\infty),\ (M_1\oplus M_2)(0)\otimes M_{\lambda_2,k}(1)\otimes L_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)) \to H^0(\mathfrak{g}(0,1,\infty),\ (M_{\lambda_1,k})(0)\otimes M_{\lambda_2,k}(1)\otimes L_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)) \to H^0(\mathfrak{g}(0,1,\infty),\ (L_{\lambda_1,k})(0)\otimes M_{\lambda_2,k}(1)\otimes L_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)) \to 0.$$

Since the highest weights of M_1, M_2 are not admissible see sect. 2, Theorem 4.1 implies that

$$H^0(\mathfrak{g}(0,1,\infty),\ (M_1\oplus M_2)(0)\otimes M_{\lambda_2,k}(1)\otimes L_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$H^0(\mathfrak{g}(0,1,\infty),\,(M_{\lambda_1,k})(0)\otimes M_{\lambda_2,k}(1)\otimes L_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)) = \\ H^0(\mathfrak{g}(0,1,\infty),\,(L_{\lambda_1,k})(0)\otimes M_{\lambda_2,k}(1)\otimes L_{\lambda_3,k}(\infty)).$$

Similar arguments go through for the factor $M_{\lambda_2,k}$. Theorem 3.2 has been proved. □

References

[1] Awata H.,Yamada Y., *Fusion rules for the Fractional Level* $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$ *Algebra*, KEK-TH-316 KEK Preprint 91-209, January 1992

[2] Bauer M., Sochen N. Singular vectors by fusions in $A_1^{(1)}$ Saclay preprint SPht/91-117 (1991)

[3] Feigin B., Fuchs D. Journal of Geom. and Phys. **5** (1988) 209-235

[4] Feigin B., Frenkel E. Phys.Lett.B **246** (1990) 75

[5] Fuchs D.B. Funkc. Anal. i Ego Pril. **23** (1989) 2, 81-83

[6] Furlan P., Ganchev A.Ch., Paunov R., Petkova V.B., Phys.Letters **267** (1991) 63; *Solutions of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations with rational isospins and the reduction to the minimal models*, preprint CERN-TH.6289/91, accepted for publication in *Nucl.Phys.B*

[7] Kac V.G., Wakimoto M. Proc. Nat'l Acad. Sci. USA **1988** 4956

[8] Kazhdan D., Lusztig G. Duke Math.J. **62** 21-29

[9] Malikov F.G., Feigin B.L., Fuchs D.B., *Singular vectors in Verma modules over Kac-Moody algebras*, Funkc.Anal.i ego Pril. **20**(1988) 2, 25-37

[10] Malikov F., *Quantum groups: singular vectors and BGG resolution*, Infinite Analysis - Proceedings of the RIMS Research Project 1991 Part B, 623 - 645, World Scientific Co. Pte. Ltd.

[11] Malikov F. Leningrad Math.Journal **2** (1991) 269-286

[12] Mathieu P., Walton M. Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **102** (1990) 229

[13] Tsuchiya A., Ueno K., Yamada Y., Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **19** (1989) 459-566